

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

TA 626 of 2017

Date of decision: 31.07.2017

Shagun Bansal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Harsh Bansal

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. S S Sarwara, Advocate
for the petitioners

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The applicants pray for transfer of petition titled '*Harsh Bansal v. Shagun Bansal etc.*' filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent-husband from the Court of District Judge (Family Court) Barnala to a Court of competent jurisdiction at U.T. Chandigarh.

The plea of the applicants is that as Shagun Bansal-applicant No. 1 is residing in Canada, the petition filed by the respondent-husband would be defended by her parents or through attorney namely Krishan Bansal, father of Shagun Bansal. In view of distance between Barnala and Chandigarh, the petition may be transferred to a Court of competent jurisdiction at U.T. Chandigarh.

In the instant case, admittedly the proceedings are to be defended by father of Shagun Bansal. There is no specific case made out by the applicants as to how continuation of the proceedings at Barnala would cause inconvenience to Shri Krishan Bansal, aged 52 years. On the contrary, in case the proceedings are transferred to Chandigarh, the

respondent-husband would be put to the same inconvenience which has been pleaded by Shri Krishan Bansal. Under the circumstances, it would be appropriate if the proceedings are allowed to continue in the Court of District Judge (Family Court) at Barnala.

With the aforesaid observations, the application is dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

31.07.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No