

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

TA 302 of 2017

Date of decision: 04.08.2017

Renu Sharma

..... Applicant

Versus

Dinesh Sharma

..... Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the applicant

None for the respondent

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Respondent has been served through his father but there is no representation on his behalf.

Counsel for the applicant-wife has submitted that besides physical distance of 130 kms. between Jhajjar and Hissar, the applicant has been looking after a daughter aged about 5 ½ years born out of wedlock of the parties. It is further submitted that the applicant has no source of income and till date the respondent-husband has not paid even a single penny to the applicant-wife or the minor child of the parties.

The respondent has failed to appear in the Court to controvert the averments raised in the application or counter the submissions made by counsel for the applicant. In absence of the respondent having caused appearance, there is nothing on record suggestive of the fact that transfer of the petition from the Court at Jhajjar to the Court of competent jurisdiction

at Hisar would cause any inconvenience for the respondent.

In view of the above, the petition filed by the respondent under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled '*Dinesh Sharma v. Renu Sharma*' is withdrawn from the Court of Additional District Judge, Jhajjar and is transferred to the Court of District Judge (Family Court) Hisar.

Disposed of accordingly.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

04.08.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No