

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

TA 1027 of 2017

Date of decision: 12.12.2017

Romi alias Roomi

..... *Applicant*

Versus

Amarjeet Singh

..... *Respondent*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate
 for the applicant

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The applicant prays for transfer of petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short 'HMA') titled '*Amarjeet Singh vs. Romi alias Roomi*' from the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division) Ludhiana to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

The sole submission made by counsel is that the applicant has the responsibility to look after the minor child, born out of the wedlock.

Perusal of the averments set up in the application would make it evident that the child was born on 04.02.2003 and is about 15 years of age. The petition under Section 9 HMA is pending for evidence of the applicant (respondent therein). Earlier, an ex parte decree was passed in favour of the respondent which was set aside on the basis of application filed by the applicant. Subsequent thereto, the respondent - husband has already concluded his evidence and the case is fixed for 04.01.2018 for evidence of the applicant/respondent. In the given circumstances, there is no justification for transfer of the case from Ludhiana to Jalandhar.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

12.12.2017
mohan bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No