

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

TA 1010 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.12.2017

Ramandeep

.....*Applicant*

Versus

Raghav

.....*Respondent*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Manu K Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the applicant

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The applicant prays for transfer of petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 filed by the respondent-husband from the Court of Additional District Judge, Amritsar to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Mohali.

Counsel for the applicant has submitted that as distance between the two places is approximately 225 kms., it is difficult for the applicant to pursue the petition filed by the respondent at Amritsar.

Counsel for the applicant has fairly informed that the applicant is a Dentist and working at Derra Bassi whereas, the respondent is a Neurosurgeon working at Amritsar. There is no child out of the wedlock. Personal appearance of the applicant-wife is not required on each and every date of hearing. Under the circumstances, it is appropriate that the petition is allowed to proceed at the place where the same is filed. However, the

applicant may file an application before the Court below for issuance of appropriate directions in the light of judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India ***Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nagam 2017(2) RCR (Civil) 358***. In case such an application is filed, the same shall be disposed of by the Court below in the light of aforesaid judgment and judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court ***Santhini v Vijaya Venketesh (Transfer Petition (Civil) 1278 of 2016, decided on 09.10.2017)*** before proceeding further with the matter.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of .

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

11.12.2017
mohan bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No