

**244 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

IOIN CWP No.17422 of 2015
DATE OF DECISION:27.11.2017

Harnek Singh & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

Punjab Mandi Board & another

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H. S. Tuli, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

As per stand of learned counsel for the petitioners, the controversy in the present petition squarely covered by decision rendered in CWP No.3580 of 2002 titled as Pritpal Singh Sekhon & others Vs. Punjab Mandi Board & others decided on 28.11.2008.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the petitioners have not been given their affidavits and their claim was not considered because of non-filing of affidavits whereas learned counsel for the petitioners submits that affidavits have already been filed.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that fresh affidavits are required to be filed because of the judgment passed subsequently. Petitioners are at liberty to file their affidavits within a period of four weeks. In case such affidavits are filed by petitioners, respondents are directed to consider the same in view of judgment

IOIN CWP No.17422 of 2015 :2:

rendered in Pritpal Singh Sekhon's case (supra) within a period of two months thereafter.

27.11.2017
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE