

**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR-95-CII-2015 in
FAO No.3858 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 08, 2017**

Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh Petitioner

Versus

M/s. Jai Bharat Construction Co. and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate for the applicants-respondents.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate for non-applicant/petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The review application has been filed by the applicants-respondents on the ground that while declining the claim of applicant-respondent No.2 with the affidavit filed by the opposite party, some documents, which were on file, were not brought to the notice of the Court.

After going through the file, I am of the view that when the matter was argued, all the documents on file were examined by this Court. For one or the other reason, as mentioned in the order, a particular view was taken. If the present applicants are not satisfied with the findings recorded by this Court and aggrieved that some documents have been over looked, it is a ground to file SLP before the superior court.

This Court is not inclined to re-examine all the documents and pass a fresh judgment.

As such, the present review application stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 08, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
-----------------------------	-----

Whether Reportable:	No
---------------------	----