

Sr. No.205

**R.A.No.50-CII of 2015 in
CR No. 466 of 2013**

Satpal and another

versus

Tarsem Lal

Present: Mr. Harsh Bunker, Advocate
for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. Karan Singh Cheema, Advocate
for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that there is an error apparent to the face of the record where it has been observed towards the end of the order dated 10.02.2015 that “*have also lost in the civil suit filed for seeking declaration*”.

It is submitted that infact the said suit is still pending. This aspect of the matter is not denied by learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondent, therefore, it is not in dispute that the aforesaid finding is wrongly recorded and requires to be corrected by way of review.

Consequently, the review application is hereby allowed only to the extent the word used in the order dated 10.02.2015 to the effect that '*have also lost in the civil suit filed for seeking declaration*' shall be read as '***even the civil suit is still pending***'. Except for this, there is no other arguments raised for the purpose of seeking review of the order. Hence, the Review application is hereby disposed of accordingly.

14th February, 2017
Shivani Kaushik

[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge