

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RA-CR-5-CII-2016 in
CR No. 4053 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.09.2017

VIKAS AHLAWAT *... Petitioner*
VS.
ANUPAMA AHLAWAT *...Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: None for the applicant

 Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
 for the respondent.

- 1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

No one has come present on behalf of the applicant despite being notified telephonically as well as by e-mail to address arguments. The situation was no different even on the last date of hearing. It seems that the applicant is no longer interested in pursuing the present review application.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

September 13, 2017 (KULDIP SINGH)
Suresh Kumar JUDGE

		✓
<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i> / <i>No</i>
		✓
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i> / <i>No</i>