

249 RA-CW-240-2016 (O&M) in CWP No. 11054 -2016
RA-CW-252-2016 (O&M) in CWP No. 11118 -2016

VARINDER PAUL SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

Present: Mr. O.P. Sharma, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the College-respondent.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having read the order in review dated 30.05.2016, I would refuse to review my order because it was passed after hearing the State of Punjab on the legal position well-settled. The office note in the shape of two bullets has been set aside. The review application would amount to rehearing of case which is not possible under Section 114 of the CPC read with Order 47 Rule 1 CPC.

No ground for review is made out and the review application is dismissed. The issue as to who has to bear the financial burden of the difference of arrears of salary is a matter between respondent Nos. 3 and 4 and State of Punjab. Change of opinion is prohibited.

A photocopy of the order be placed on the file of connected case.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

08.11.2017

kv