

IOIN-CRM-9551-2016 (O&M)

Office has put up a note that in this case, neither any order regarding the requisition of lower Court record has been passed by this Court nor lower Court record has been received or requisitioned by this Court.

It appears that due to typographical error, in the first line of order dated 4.10.2017 passed in CRM-M-9551-2016, 'lower Court record perused' has been typed instead of 'file perused'.

Accordingly, it is ordered that since it is typographical error therefore, first line of order dated 4.10.2017 passed in CRM-M-9551-2016 be read as “file perused” instead of “lower Court record perused”.

Office note stands disposed of accordingly.

07.11.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge