

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

288

RSA No.6157 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.02.2017

Gurmeet Kaur

..... Appellant

Versus

Vinod Kumar Sharma

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present:- Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Harveen Kaur, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

CM-14685-C-2014

Applicant seeks condonation of delay of 53 days in filing the appeal.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the delay of 53 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RSA No.6157 of 2014

Learned counsel for the respondent-plaintiff (decree-holder) has placed before the Court certified copy of Bill No.6 dated 15.07.2015 and the application dated 18.04.2015 moved by the appellant-Gurmeet Kaur for issuing necessary DRO permitting her to withdraw the money and submits that once the impugned decree already stands executed and the appellant-defendant has already withdrawn the amount, coupled with the

fact that sale deed has been executed on 26.09.2016, the present appeal has already been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

On the above statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, learned counsel for the appellant also fairly states that let the present appeal be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent but liberty may be granted to the appellant to get the present appeal revived, if the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent is found to be incorrect.

In view of the above said statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

20.02.2017
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes / No**

Whether Reportable **Yes / No**