

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(210) CM-18074-CII-2016;
CM-18075-CII-2016; and
RA-CR-219-CII-2016 in
CR-5248-2014
Decided on: December 15, 2017.**

Sukhdev Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Sadha Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rohit Kapoor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

CM-18075-CII-2016

The miscellaneous application is allowed subject to just exceptions.

CM-18074-CII-2016

Though nobody has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents, I have considered application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 472 days in filing the review application.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner regarding the alleged prejudice having been caused to the petitioner but it is not understood as to how the petitioner slept over the period of more than one year before seeking the review.

The reasons mentioned in the application are not sufficient enough to condone the delay.

Application for condonation of delay of 472 days in filing the review application is dismissed.

CM-18074-CII-2016;
CM-18075-CII-2016; and
RA-CR-219-CII-2016 in
CR-5248-2014

-2-

RA-CR-219-CII-2016

The review application consequently stands dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 15, 2017.
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No