

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**TA No. 879 of 2016
Date of decision: 21.3.2017**

Meenakshi

...Applicant

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the applicant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

As per office reports dated 21.2.2017 and 18.3.2017, service on the respondent has not been effected.

Neither anybody has come present on behalf of the applicant to press this transfer application, nor any request for pass over has been made.

When the case came up for hearing on 23.2.2017, following order was passed by this Court:-

“As per office report dated 21.02.2017, notice could not be issued as learned counsel for the applicant did not furnish the name and address of the Advocate, who is representing the respondent before the learned trial Court.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the applicant undertakes to do the needful within a period of one week from today.

On his doing the needful, let fresh notice be issued to the respondent for 21.03.2017.

TA No. 879 of 2016

Applicant shall also be at liberty to serve the respondent by way of dasti process as well as through his counsel before the learned trial Court.”

As per office report dated 18.3.2017, abovesaid order has not been complied with.

In view of the above, it seems that applicant is no more interested in pursuing the present transfer application. In this view of the matter, instant transfer application is dismissed for non prosecution, however, liberty is granted to the applicant to file a fresh transfer application, if necessity arises.

21.3.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No