

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.1120 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.12.2017

Harbans Kaur and others

... Appellants

Versus

Charanjit Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate,
for the appellants.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

No interference is called for in pure findings of fact returned by both the Courts below. If the appellants say that they had filed objections to the demarcation report, then instead of pursuing that remedy to its logical end, the suit was filed by the appellant No.1 restraining the defendants from encroaching upon her land.

Both the Courts have held to the contrary finding that it is the appellant who is the encroacher and I have no reason to interfere with those findings or substitute opinion recorded by the Courts after appreciating the evidence on record.

No question of law, much less a substantial one is involved in this case within the meaning of Section 100 of the CPC or under Section 41 of the Punjab Courts Act, 1918.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

06.12.2017

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No