

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA NO. 672 OF 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 25.04.2017**

Jai Bhagwan and ors.

...Appellants

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

CM NO. 1777-CI OF 2017

Allowed, as prayed for.

CM NO. 1778-CI OF 2017

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, the legal heirs of late Bhagwana s/o Ram Chander (appellant No.2), as depicted in para no.2 of the application, are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only.

RFA No. 672 of 2017

Learned counsel for the appellant fairly points out, at the outset, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by order

and judgment dated 05.11.2015, rendered by this Court in RFA No.4707 of 2014 (Ram Singh v. State of Haryana), vide which the appeals preferred by the land owners were dismissed.

That being so, the present appeal is also dismissed being covered by the decision dated 05.11.2015, rendered by this Court in RFA No.4707 of 2014.

CM NO. 1776-CI OF 2017

There is delay of 753 days in filing the accompanying appeal. For, vide order of an even date, the main appeal has been dismissed, being covered by a decision of this Court in the case of ***Ram Singh (supra)***, no formal and separate order is required to be passed in this application.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

APRIL 25, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned ? YES/NO

Whether reportable ? YES/NO