

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216-a

RFA No.653 of 2017(O & M)

Date of Decision:05.05.2017

Ram Mehar

....appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Virender Rana, Advocate
for the appellant

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.1726-CI of 2017

Application is allowed as prayed for. Deficiency in Court fee is allowed to be made good.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.1727-CI of 2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.1725-CI of 2017

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 27 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, delay of 27 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.1728-CI of 2017

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 772 days

in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicant is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 27.05.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.5316 of 2014** titled “Pushpender Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and another”, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 772 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay, the applicant shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.653 of 2017

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Pushpender's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the

said decision. However, the appellant shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.772 days.

May 05, 2017

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No