

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

TA No.254 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.02.2017

Aruna Rani

... Applicant

Vs.

Akshay

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the applicant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

While issuing notice of motion, further proceedings before the learned trial Court were stayed by this Court vide order dated 06.05.2016. Thereafter, applicant never made any sincere efforts to effect service on the respondent-husband. On the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of the applicant and it was directed by this Court to furnish the complete address of the respondent within a period of two weeks, so as to issue fresh notice to the respondent, failing which the petition shall be deemed to be dismissed for non-prosecution.

As per office report dated 16.02.2017, notice could not be issued to the respondent as learned counsel has not supplied the address of the respondent, thus, the previous order dated 02.12.2016 passed by this Court has not been complied with. The order dated 02.12.2016 passed by this Court reads as under: -

*“Notice issued to the respondent received back with the report
'incomplete address'.*

Adjourned to 20.02.2017.

Learned counsel for the applicant to do the needful within two weeks, failing which, this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed for non-prosecution.”

In view of the above, it seems that applicant is no more interested in pursuing the instant transfer application. In terms of the order dated 02.12.2016 passed by this Court, present transfer application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

20.02.2017
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No