

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.5456 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.09.2017

Surinder Kumar

... Appellant

Versus

Smt. Baljit Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate,
for the appellant.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. This appeal is dismissed for the following reasons:-
2. The plaintiff had no right to seek permanent injunction against his wife not to sell family jewellery given to her as Streedhan at the time of marriage. No injunction can be issued against owner. Streedhan is protected by the ancient Hindu Law as well as the codified law and accordingly both the Courts have done justice in dismissing the suit.
3. As far as the Kisan Vikas Patras purchased by the plaintiff for his minor daughters Deepa and Nisha is concerned an injunction could not be issued restraining his minor daughters from encashing those negotiable instruments on their reaching the age of majority. At any rate, the daughters have encashed the premium on the Kisan Vikas Patras and, therefore, on this count also the suit failed.
4. I have no reason to entertain this appeal as it present no question of law much less a substantial one under Section 100 of the CPC or

under Section 41 of the Punjab Courts Act, 1918.

5. The appeal is dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

27.09.2017
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No