

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

IO in CRM No. M-14391 of 2013 in
CRM No. M-14391 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.7.2017

Sheetu Taneja and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Amandeep Singh Saini,
Advocate.
Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that the petitioners had married against the wishes of their parents. A coordinate bench of this Court, vide order dated 3.5.2013, passed in CRM No. M-14391 of 2013, directed petitioner No. 2 to deposit a sum of Rs. 2 lacs in the form of FDR in favour of his wife (petitioner No. 1).

The learned counsel for the petitioners has informed this Court that now the parties have settled the matter. The petitioners are blessed with a son also. Now, the son of the petitioners is aged about 3 years. Therefore, they are not interested in pursuing the matter.

In view of the matter, the order dated 3.5.2013, passed by a coordinate bench of this Court in CRM No. M-14391 of 2013, asking petitioner No. 2 to deposit Rs. 2 lacs in the form of FDR in favour of petitioner No. 1, is recalled. The matter is accordingly dropped.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No