

206 IOIN-RSA-2474-2013

**SANJAY KUMAR
VS
JAG MOHAN & ANR**

Present: Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate for the applicant/appellant.

Vide order dated 01.04.2014, this Court had directed the appellant to deposit total amount of rent w.e.f. 20.03.1999 till date at the rate of ₹2000/- per month with the Registry. The factum of depositing a sum of ₹3,62,000/- is reflected from the order dated 12.05.2014.

Further vide order dated 08.09.2014, the above-mentioned amount of ₹3,62,000/- has been ordered to be kept in a fixed deposit with the bank carrying maximum rate of interest.

The abovementioned appeal was dismissed on 19.01.2016.

Vide separate application No.2312-C of 2017 filed by the appellant, a prayer was made for refund of the aforementioned amount along with interest and the same was disposed of vide order dated 29.03.2017 with the direction to the Registry to refund the abovementioned amount alongwith the interest incurred thereon in accordance with law.

Now the Registry has placed this matter in katcha peshi that due to inadvertence/bona fide mistake on the part of the officers/officials of the concerned branch, the amount in question had not been converted into FDR and orders are solicited as to whether ₹87,285/- calculated interest on ₹3,62,000/- w.e.f. 08.09.2014 may be taken from the concerned officers/officials (whose names are mentioned in the PUC) on sharing basis.

Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate for the applicant/appellant submits that he has no objection in case amount of interest is deposited from

the personal pocket of the employees who had in pursuance to the order of this Court could not deposit the amount in the FDR, within a period of two months.

Ordered accordingly.

In view of the order passed in the application, no further order is required to be passed.

15.05.2017

Pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**