

106+216. CM-1819-C-2017 and
IOIN-RSA-2671-2013 in
RSA-2671-2013

ESTATE OFFICER HUDA VS RAJBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate,
for the applicant-appellant.

CM-1819-C-2017

Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate, has produced vakalatnama executed by HUDA (appellant) in his favour, which is taken on record. He states that the present application be read as filed under Section 152 CPC instead of Section 151 CPC.

The prayer in the application is to get the presence of Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate, marked on behalf of the appellant i.e. HUDA.

Notice in the application.

Mr.U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Since Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate, has argued the case on behalf of the appellant-HUDA, therefore, his presence be recorded as the counsel for the appellant instead of Mr. A.K. Kansal, Advocate.

Application stands disposed of.

IOIN-RSA-2671-2013

Office note perused.

The word "*present petition*" on the last page of the judgment be read as "*present appeal*". Similarly in the next line, the reference made that "*the cost shall be paid to the respondent/appellant*", be read as, "*the cost shall be paid to the respondent/plaintiff*."

IOIN stands disposed of.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

03.03.2017
sanjeev