

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

132

RFA-4342-2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:09.10.2017

Surender (deceased th.LRs.)

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Parmod Parmar, Advocate for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM-10522-CI-2017:

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-10523-CI-2017:

This is an application for condonation of delay of 757 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Delay of 757 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-10521-CI-2017

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Surender son of Jalse Ram @ Zile Ram and Birjesh son of Surender, who are stated to have died during the pendency of the Reference, are granted leave to institute and maintain the accompanying appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-10520-CI-2017 and RFA-4342-2017

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the order and judgment dated 31.07.2017, rendered by this Court in **RFA No. 6066 of 2015, titled “Bhagwat Sarup and others v. State of Haryana and others”**, and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant/landowners.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, and Mr. Pritam S. Saini, Advocate, present in the Court, accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and respondent Nos. 3 & 4, respectively. Copies furnished. For, respondent Nos. 5 to 15 are alleged to be proforma party, their service is dispensed with.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in **Bhagwat Sarup's case (supra)**, the present appeal too is disposed of in terms thereof.

October 09, 2017
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No