

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.4088 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 27.10.2017

Rattan Chand and others

... Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the appellant(s).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 25.10.2017, rendered by this Court in RFA No.3522 of 2015 (Babu Lal and others Vs. State of Haryana and others), and other connected matters, vide which, the matter has been remitted to the reference Court for re-decision.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr. P.S. Saini, Advocate, for respondent No.3. For, respondent No.4 is alleged to be proforma party, his service is dispensed with.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

That being so, the appeal is disposed of, in terms of the order and judgment dated 25.10.2017, rendered by this Court in Babu Lal's case (supra). Parties through their respective counsel are directed to appear before the District Judge on 13.11.2017.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

October 27, 2017

Manoj Bhutani/sonia arora

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO