

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RFA-3946-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 15, 2017

Vinod Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Vipin Kumar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

CM-9503-CI-2017:

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-9504-CI-2017:

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 1008 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM-9505-CI-2017:

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-9506-CI-2017:

This is an application for condonation of delay of 156 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Notice of the application.

Ms. Rukhsaar Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, and Mr. Nitin Kaushal,

and 2, respectively.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 156 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

RFA-3946-2017

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the order and judgment dated 15.02.2016, rendered by this Court in RFA No. 3220 of 2014, titled “Parveen Lata v. State of Punjab and another”, and other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners to Rs. 1,564/- per square yard.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

That being so, the present appeal too is disposed of in terms of the decision rendered by this Court in the case of **Parveen Lata (supra)**.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2017

P Kapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

YES / NO

Whether Reportable:

YES / NO