

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.5559 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.09.2017**

Dharampal

... Appellant

Versus

Rambhagat

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL).

The plaintiff is in Regular Second Appeal against the judgement of the learned District Judge.

The plaintiff had filed a suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from shifting the electric connection No.N57/N24AN51-1042. It was the case of the plaintiff that in fact this electric connection was got installed by his father in the name of defendant No.1 as the plaintiff at that time was minor.

Learned first Appellate Court after reappreciating the evidence available on the file has recorded a finding of fact that the plaintiff has failed to prove that this electric connection was joint between the parties. It is not in dispute that the electric connection is in the name of defendant No.1 only. Learned District Judge has further found that the aforesaid electric connection has already been shifted to some other place i.e. the land comprised in Rectangle No.43 Kila No.18. The present suit is only for

permanent injunction.

Once the electric connection has already been shifted, no further order can be passed.

In view of the above, I do not find any good ground to interfere with the judgement passed by the learned District Judge.

The appeal is dismissed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

15.09.2017
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No