

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**105**

**RFA No.3513 of 2017(O & M)**

**Date of Decision:10.08.2017**

Rajesh Kumar and others

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr.Sunil Dhanda, Advocate for  
Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate  
for the appellants

\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):**

**CM-8229-CI-2017**

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1069 days in re-filing the appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Delay of 1069 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

**CM-8228-CI-2017**

This is an application for condonation of delay of 303 days in filing the appeal. Learned counsel for the appellants concedes that the explanation set out in the application hardly constitute any sufficient cause. However, it is urged that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 17.05.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.3158 of 2013 [**State of Haryana and another v. Rohtas and others**] and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the

claimant-landowners to ₹ 1,81,00,000/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, delay of 303 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

**CM-8230-CI-2017**

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Poonam-appellant No.6, who is stated to have died on 16.08.2012, during the pendency of the Reference, are granted leave to institute and maintain the accompanying appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

**CM-8231-CI-2017**

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Maya Devi-appellant No.7, who is stated to have died on 30.10.2009, as depicted in para No.2 of the application, are brought on record, for the purpose of pursuing the appeal

only.

CM stands disposed of.

**CM-8227-CI-2017 & RFA No.3513 of 2017**

For, learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Rohtas and others' case (Supra), the present appeal too is disposed of in terms of the said decision.

August 10, 2017  
*neenu*

**(ARUN PALLI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable-       | Yes/No |