

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

RFA No.3471 of 2017(O & M)

Date of Decision:09.08.2017

Ami Chand (deceased) th.LRs.

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.S.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM-8169-CI-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures A1 to A3 are taken on record.

CM-8168-CI-2017

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 1855 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, delay of 1855 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-8167-CI-2017

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Ami Chand, who is stated to have died on 22.04.2013, as depicted in para No.2 of the application, are brought on record, for the purpose of pursuing the appeal only. However, it

is clarified that this order shall have no bearing upon any dispute, pending qua the registered Will dated 12.09.2007, referred to in para No.3 of the application.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.3471 of 2017

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order/judgement dated 11.12.2014 rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.4555 of 2011 titled "Hukum Singh and others vs. Land Acquisition Collector and others" and other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, the Hon'ble Supreme Court enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant-landowners by ₹ 1,00,000/- per acre over and above ₹ 20,00,000/- per acre, that were awarded by the reference Court and affirmed by this Court.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

In the wake of above, the present appeal too is disposed of in terms of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Hukam Singh's case (supra).

August 09, 2017

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No