

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.2829 of 2017
Date of Decision : 27.10.2017**

Umed Singh and others

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this Court in RFA No.4475 of 2012 (Ram Chander and another Vs. State of Haryana and others) and other connected matters, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant/landowners. However, she submits that in the appeals preferred by the State against the said decision the Supreme Court, vide order and judgment dated 5.9.2017, rendered in CA Nos. 11814-11864 of 2017 (State of Haryana and others ETC. Vs. Ram Chander and another ETC.) has caused 15% deduction on account of development charges and the decision of this Court has been modified, accordingly.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out above is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents. Rather, she submits that let this appeal be disposed of in terms of the decision of the Supreme Court in Ram Chander's case (supra).

Ordered accordingly.

27.10.2017

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No