

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.2026 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 18.05.2017

State of Haryana and another

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Ved Parkash and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana,
for the appellant(s).

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel fairly points out at the outset that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 05.05.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.3356 of 2010 [**State of Haryana and another v. Santokh Singh and others**] and other connected matters, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant/land owners. Thus, she submits that as a necessary consequence, this appeal shall have to be dismissed.

Ordered, accordingly.

For, the main appeal has been dismissed on merits being covered by the decision of this Court in Santokh Singh's case (supra), no formal orders are required to be passed in the applications.

May 18, 2017

Sanjiv

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO