

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

RFA No.1392 of 2017(O & M)

Date of Decision:22.03.2017

Smt.Rajnia (deceased) th.LRs. and another

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Rajender Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.M.S.Tewatia, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.3586-CI of 2017

This is an application for condonation of delay of 2379 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 28.03.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.2322 of 2011**, titled “Usha Rani and others versus State of Haryana and others”, and other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners to ₹ 48,57,000/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr.Pritam Saini, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 2379 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM 3587-CI of 2017

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Rajnia-appellant No.1, who is stated to have died on 19.08.2014, as depicted in para No.2 of the application, are brought on record, for the purpose of pursuing the appeal only.

CM stands disposed of.

CM 3585-CI of 2017 and RFA No.1392 of 2017

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Usha Rani's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on

the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal
i.e.2379 days.

March 22, 2017
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No.
Whether reportable-	Yes/No