

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA No.855 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.08.2017

Parvinder KaurAppellant
Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

There is absolutely no legal infirmity committed by the learned Single Judge in the impugned order. The appellant even though qualified could not have any right to be considered for appointment as it would be purely in the domain of the employer to consider such a course. We also have no reason to doubt that since the appellant is qualified she would be considered for appointment in case such a situation arises. We also notice that the appeal is barred by a delay of 192 days which we condone in the given set of circumstances as it has been pleaded that the appellant is a poor woman who could not arrange the requisite amount to file the present appeal.

Appeal disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

04.08.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No