

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.774 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 07.09.2017

Lakhwinder Singh Randhawa and others

....Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. B.S.Jaswal, Advocate
for the appellants.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This appeal is totally frivolous. The writ petitioners had approached this Court seeking the relief as was granted to two other co-employees, namely Darshan Singh and Balkar Singh whose break in the service was condoned. The learned Single Judge accepted the plea and ordered likewise. Learned counsel for the appellants now contends that they were not parties to the writ petition and are likely to be impacted by the order of the learned Single Judge as with the condoning of break in the service these writ petitioners would stand higher in seniority to them which is contrary to the rules.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellants, we do not find any reason to interfere. If the seniority or any other consequences flows from the order of the learned Single Judge, which is adverse or detrimental to the cause of the appellants, they would be at liberty to avail their remedies in law but they certainly do not have any right in the present appeal.

That apart the appeal is barred by a delay of 383 days which is largely unexplained and therefore, we do not intend to condone the same.

Appeal dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

07.09.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No