

220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-238-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.10.2017

Harbans Singh

.... Appellant

Versus

Sangat Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr.Mohit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Sanjeev Kr. Panwar, Advocate, for the respondent.

Avneesh Jhingan, J.

In this regular second appeal, on 20th September, 2016, it was submitted by the counsel for both the parties that dispute has been amicably settled. Learned counsel for the appellant sought time to place on record the compromise. One year has passed but the said compromise has not been placed on record by learned counsel for the appellant.

Today, learned counsel for the respondent has produced the compromise. In spite of three pass over requests made on behalf of counsel for the appellant, Shri Gopal Sharma, Advocate, has not appeared.

In view of the compromise, the present appeal is dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

However, the liberty is given to the appellant to revive the same, if something survives.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13.10.2017

anju

1.Whether the order is speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2.Whether the order is reportable : Yes/No