

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.577 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14.09.2017

Parveen Kumar

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr.S.K.Arora, Advocate
for the appellant.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This appeal is against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 11.01.2017.

The only argument raised before us is that the selected candidates could not obtain dual benefit of relaxation in age and then steal a march over the general category candidates. The appellant and the selected candidates had participated in a selection process where the selected candidates applied against reserved categories and gained relaxation in age permissible to such categories. In the qualifying examination they secured more marks than the last selected candidate in general category and, therefore, the terms of the settled principle of law necessarily had to be considered as selected in the general category (*Jitendra Kumar Singh and another v. State of U.P.and others* **2010(3) SCC 119**). Therefore, we do not agree with the contention of the learned counsel for the appellant that the selected candidates have obtained dual benefit or that they should be considered ineligible in the general category because of their age. The learned Single Judge has applied the ratio of law correctly.

No ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

14.09.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No