

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.566 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 03.11.2017

Hakam Singh and others

....Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr.P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for appellants.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This appeal is directed against the judgment of the learned
Single Judge dated 04.10.2016.

Briefly the case of the appellants is that they had applied
for the posts of Canal Patwaris in the year 1995 and the State intended
to fill up certain amount of posts. In the selection they were selected
but not appointed. Eventually, the selection itself was scrapped. On
10.09.2016 a public notice was issued by the State intending to fill up
posts of Canal Patwaris.

The appellants now claim that since they stood selected in
the earlier selection but not appointed, they should be considered
against the present advertisement.

The learned Single Judge declined the prayer as a
consequence of which the present appeal has been filed.

On due consideration, we are of the opinion that there is
absolutely no infirmity in the impugned judgment. The appellants

who participated in the year 1995 to be selected would have no cause to press for appointment against the present advertisement of 10.09.2016. Indeed, if they applied and are eligible, they would be considered along with others but certainly no preference can be accorded to them in the absence of any enforceable right. Therefore, the appeal being without merit is dismissed.

However, marginal delay of 36 days in filing and 55 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned for the reasons mentioned in the applications.

Appeal dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

03.11.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No