

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

LPA No.563 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 03.11.2017

Satish Kumar

....Appellant

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER  
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr. G.S.Nagra, Advocate  
for appellant.

**MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)**

We do not find any infirmity in the impugned judgment as all the revenue courts have held against the appellant to appoint him as Lambardar.

We have perused the order of the Collector which does not reveal any perversity and in view of the settled law in the matters of Lambardari the choice of Collector should not be interfered with unless some perversity is writ large on the face of it. No exceptional ground has been shown in favour of the appellant to have a preferential claim and the fact that hereditary claim was pressed to have a preference would be inconsequential as the rule of primogeniture does not hold good.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)  
JUDGE

03.11.2017  
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |