

Sr. No.125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 534 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: July 25, 2017

Subhash Chand

.....Appellant

VS.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present:- Mr.Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate for the appellant.

-.-

MAHESH GROVER, J (ORAL)

We do not find any legal infirmity in the impugned order. Merely because two persons have been deputed to the B-1 course, even though they were beyond the age prescribed in the Rules would be no ground to grant the prayer of the appellant on parity, since the Rules prohibit such a course.

Dismissed.

However, it would be open to the respondents to consider the prayer made by the appellant in view of the instances cited by him.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

July 25, 2017
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No