

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**L.P.A. No.487 of 2017 (O&M)
Decided on : 21.07.2017**

Taro Devi

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present : Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate,
for the appellants.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The appellant impugns the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 18.10.2016 rejecting her claim for appointment as Instructor Stenography and also questioning selection of respondent No.4 to the post of Instructor Stenography (Hindi) on the ground that certificate of experience possessed by him (R.4) was from a private typing school and not from a recognized institution. Apart from that, the advertisement did not prescribe any such condition.

Now the appellant contends that the certificate possessed by the selected candidate is forged. We are unable to accept this plea as these issues were never raised before the learned Single Judge and even if they had been, it would not have been in the fitness of things to

comment on the forgery in exercise of powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in view of the underlying disputed questions of fact that may have emerged from such a plea.

No ground is made out for interference.

Apart from this, there is a delay of 87 days in filing the appeal, which we do not intend to condone, in the absence of any plausible explanation.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

July 21, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No