

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA No.469 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14.11.2017

Manish KumarAppellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr.Mohit Rathee, Advocate
for appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

After arguing at length, learned counsel for the appellant prays for permission to withdraw the instant appeal. He however, states that he will pursue his remedy with the respondents.

Since the writ court has not said anything on merits of the termination order and the appellant wishes to pursue his remedy with the respondents, the dismissal of the writ petition would not stand in the way of the respondents to consider the representation of the appellant.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

14.11.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No