

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.354 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision :04.07.2017

Prithvi Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

....

Present: Mr.Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the appellant.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The appellant is in appeal against the judgment of the
learned Single Judge dated 30.11.2016.

The appellant claims that his services be regularised
w.e.f. 26.06.1986 instead of 19.11.1988, the date which has been
assigned to it.

The learned Single Judge noticed that earlier in a suit
for mandatory injunction question of validity of regularisation of
services of the petitioner w.e.f. 19.11.1988 was also looked into
and found to be valid, which finding was affirmed upto the
Regular Second Appeal. Having invited a finding on the issue the
appellant cannot claim any variation thereof since he is bound by

the judicial finding in this regard. We thus do not find any merit in the present appeal and dismiss the same.

However, the delay of 48 days in filing the appeal, however, is condoned considering the explanation furnished in the application.

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE

04.07.2017

dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No