

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.222 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision :12.07.2017

Ramesh Chander

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

....

Present: Mr.Tarun Jhatta, Advocate
for the appellant.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that due to the fault of the State he was denied the benefit of extension of one year service beyond the age of retirement.

We are of the opinion that the judgment of the learned Single Judge does not warrant any interference considering that the period of extension of one year has expired assuming that the appellant was granted such a concession. We would not like to comment upon the entitlement of the appellant in terms of the instructions.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that he would be entitled to compensation. We are afraid, we cannot accept the prayer in the present proceedings and would leave the appellant to his remedies in law.

That apart, the appeal is barred by a delay of 54 days in filing and 42 days in re-filing, which we do not intend to condone in the absence of any plausible explanation.

Appeal dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE

12.07.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No