

Sr.No.119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-2194-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.11.2017

M/s. Gogo Forging

.....Appellant

VS.

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. And others

....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present:- Mr.Gaurav Chopra, Advocate for the appellant.

-.-

MAHESH GROVER, J (ORAL)

Concededly, the appellant has an alternate remedy of filing an appeal which is the very reason by the learned Single Judge which declined interference in writ proceedings. We, therefore, do not find any legal infirmity or reason to exercise our correctional jurisdiction.

Appeal is dismissed.

However, in case the appellant chooses the remedy of filing an appeal, the same shall be disposed of as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of initiation of the appeal. The appellant would also be at liberty to make an appropriate application to waive off the pre condition of deposit which may be dealt with in accordance with law.

In case the application for condonation of delay is also moved, the same may be considered sympathetically considering the fact that the

LPA-2194-2017(O&M) -2-

appellant was agitating his right though before an erroneous forum.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

15.11.2017
mamta

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether /reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No