

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.2164 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14.11.2017

Lakhan Singh YadavAppellant

Versus

Union of India and others ...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

**Present : Mr.Balbir Singh, Advocate
for appellant.**

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

We do not see any infirmity in the impugned order. The appellant by way CWP No.24580 of 2017 impugned the order dated 23.10.2017 which was essentially an order passed in compliance of the earlier order passed by the writ court on 01.09.2017 in CWP No.12370 of 2015 which we would extract here-below :-

“In view of the order dated 17.8.2017, petition do not survive for consideration. If the petitioner is not relieved with reference to order dated 17.08.2017, petitioner be relieved on 1.11.2017. It is also noticed that pursuant to the order dated 17.8.2017, petitioner had the joining period upto 16.09.2017. Therefore, respondents are hereby directed to relieve the petitioner on 1.11.2017.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.”

Since in the subsequent writ petition the challenge was

mounted only to the order which was in essentiality a compliance order of the writ court, there would be no occasion to interfere and the learned Single Judge was right in declining such a prayer. The appellant never impugned the order dated 01.09.2017 in appeal. Besides, the issue revolves around transfer and posting to which the writ courts normally do not interfere.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

14.11.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No