

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA No.2076 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 01.11.2017

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board
Panchkula and another

....Appellants

Versus

Harbhagwan Gupta, ZMEO (Retd.)

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr.R.D.Bawa, Advocate
for appellants.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This appeal has been filed with an inordinate delay of 237 days. The application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act does not even offer any justification for condoning the delay. We are of the opinion that such callous approach of the appellants cannot be overlooked, so much so that in the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act not even an attempt has been made to offer any justification. It almost seems that the appellants perceive the delay would be condoned as a matter of right. We are thus of the opinion that the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act deserves to be dismissed and likewise the appeal. Ordered accordingly.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

01.11.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No