

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1965 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 13.10.2017

Nirmal Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

**Present : Mr.Vivek K.Thakur, Advocate
for the appellant.**

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

We find absolutely no reason to interfere with the judgment of the learned Single Judge. It has been noticed that the appellant was engaged by a Committee which has now ceased to function since 2009. It has been argued before us that the appellant has been continuing on the rolls of the Committee even after 2009. We find no such material on record. Learned counsel for the appellant has also contended that he has been paid the salary. Even to this extent there is no material on record. Therefore, it is difficult for this Court to accept the contention of learned counsel for the appellant when there is other material on record to suggest that the Committee became non-functional in the year 2009. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed. However, the appellant may take recourse to his remedies in law by establishing the fact that he has urged before us.

Apart from this, the appeal is also barred by a delay of 73 days which we do not intend to condone in the absence of any

plausible explanation.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

13.10.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No