

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1964 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 13.10.2017

Nishan Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Deputy Commissioner, Karnal and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

....

Present : Mr.Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and have perused the letters that were impugned in the writ proceedings. It does not show any adverse order or action against the appellant. The only thing that has been mentioned in the letters is to prepare the site plan after inspecting the spot to enable the competent authority to prepare the record as to whether the appellant was in possession of any excess land or not. If that is so, then we are of the opinion that such an action cannot be adverse to the appellant but if there is any adverse order passed or action taken, the appellant would naturally have remedies in law. He has filed a frivolous petition and an appeal that has resulted in sheer wastage of time of the Court.

Apart from this, the appeal is barred by a delay of 39 days which we do not intend to condone in the absence of any

plausible explanation.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

13.10.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No