

Sr. No.120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1946-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: October 12, 2017

Kulwant Singh and others

.....Appellants

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present:- Mr.M.S.Kang, Advocate for the appellants.

-.-

MAHESH GROVER, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants has not been able to point out any infirmity in the findings recorded by the learned Single Judge in its judgment dated 03.10.2017 but his grievance is limited to the effect that the Appellate Authority i.e Deputy Registrar is the one who had initially passed order Annexure P5 against which they have a grievance and consequently did not implement this order. It is contended that filing of an appeal before the very same Authority is likely to be futile. However, it has been brought to our notice that a revision petition is pending before the State Government. Learned counsel for the appellants states that he be permitted to file a revision qua his grievance before the State instead of an appeal.

In view of the prayer made before us, we dispose of the appeal with liberty to the appellants to move to appropriate Authority under the revisional jurisdiction.

Disposed of.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

October 12, 2017

mamta

Whether /reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No