

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA no.1894 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision :09.10.2017

Ravinder Kumar Pabbi

....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr.Puneet Sharma, Advocate for the appellant(s)

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

There is absolutely no reason to interfere with the judgment of the learned Single Judge as with the efflux of time the challenge in the writ proceedings qua an agreement whose life was only one year has been rendered illusory. However, learned counsel for the appellant contends that for future he should be considered in terms of the 1964 Rules and he is willing to pay 5% extra of the lease amount for the ensuing period.

After hearing learned counsel for the appellant, since there is no legal infirmity in the impugned order, we decline interference. However, the respondents shall be at liberty to consider the case of the appellant in terms of 1964 Rules or any other provision of law applicable and pass a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

09.10.2017

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

(Raj Shekhar Attri)
Judge