

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1633 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 05.09.2017

Naval Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr.Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Ms.Preeti Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The appellant states that his only grievance is regarding the finding recorded by the learned Single Judge qua the condition imposed in the concluding paragraph, restricting the process of appointment of Lambardar to the writ petitioner. We may extract the said observation as under :

“With these observations, the present petition is hereby allowed, the impugned orders are set aside and the matter is remanded back to the Collector to decide the case with regard to appointment of the petitioner as Lambardar afresh keeping in view the aforesaid observations made by this Court.”

Upon reconsideration, the appeal is disposed of with a clarification that since a fresh process has been directed and

embarked upon, the same shall not be restricted to the writ petitioner but the competent authority would consider the merits and de-merits of all the aspirants.

Delay of 03 days in filing the present appeal is, however, condoned for the reasons stated in the application.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

05.09.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No