

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1625 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.09.2017

Rajkala and another

....Appellants

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate
for the appellants.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants contends that the writ petitioners had indeed got more marks but after the revision of the answer-sheets some marks were deducted thereby placing them outside the selection zone. Further contends that these marks could not have been reduced.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellants, we are of the opinion that these are issues to be decided in the main writ petition itself.

Confronted with this situation, learned counsel for the appellants states that he be permitted to withdraw the instant appeal with liberty to make appropriate application before the learned Single Judge bringing all these facts to his notice.

In case such an application is filed within a period of one week from today, we would request the learned Single Judge to make

an endeavour to dispose of the same prior to the hearing of the main case, if convenient.

Appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

04.09.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No